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Foreword

1. Department of Energy (DOE) activities may expose populations of plants and animals to radioactive materials in environmental media, or to radioactive materials released in waste streams. This DOE voluntary consensus technical standard provides methods, models, and guidance within a graded approach that DOE personnel and contractors may use to characterize radiation doses to aquatic and terrestrial biota that are exposed to radioactive materials.

2. The graded approach to biota dose evaluation can be used to address requirements for radiological protection of the environment contained in DOE Orders. It can also be used to support radiological protection of the environment program elements within Environmental Management Systems (EMS) at DOE sites.

3. These methods (and the Biota Concentration Guides contained in them) are not intended to be used as design criteria, indicators of the severity of accidental releases of radioactive materials, or guides for mitigating the consequences of accidental releases. Furthermore, this technical standard does not apply to the irradiation of biota for experimental purposes, nor to research or experimental studies.

4. This technical standard and the RAD-BCG Calculator (an electronic calculational tool provided with the technical standard) can be downloaded from the Department’s Biota Dose Assessment Committee (BDAC) web site (http://homer.ornl.gov/oepa/public/bdac).

5. The graded approach to biota dose evaluation and associated guidance contained in this technical standard is also intended for use with the RESRAD-BIOTA code. The RESRAD-BIOTA dose evaluation code was designed to be consistent with the graded approach and the BCGs contained herein.

6. DOE technical standards, such as this standard, do not establish requirements. However, all or part of the provisions in a DOE standard can become requirements under the following circumstances:

(a) they are explicitly stated to be requirements in a DOE requirements document; or

(b) the organization makes a commitment to meet a standard in a contract or in an implementation plan or program plan required by a DOE requirements document.

Throughout this standard, the word “shall” is used to denote actions which must be performed if the objectives of this standard are to be met. If the provisions in this standard are made requirements through one of the two ways discussed above, then the “shall” statements would become requirements. However, “should” statements would not automatically be converted to “shall” statements if provisions in this standard become requirements, as this action would violate the consensus process used to approve this standard.
7. This technical standard has undergone extensive review throughout its development: (1) it was prepared and reviewed by the Department's Biota Dose Assessment Committee (BDAC), an approved DOE Technical Standards Program topical committee; (2) it has undergone a formal DOE review and comment resolution process as required by the Department's Technical Standards Program; (3) it was made available to other federal agencies for their review and comment through the Interagency Steering Committee on Radiation Standards (ISCORS); (4) it was reviewed by an independent external technical expert; and (5) five papers on the graded approach methodology and associated guidance contained in this technical standard have undergone external peer review for publication in scientific journals.

8. Comments in the form of recommendations, pertinent data, and lessons learned from implementation of DOE’s graded approach to biota dose evaluation that may improve future versions of this technical standard, the RAD-BCG Calculator, or the RESRAD-BIOTA code, are welcome and should be sent to:

   Mr. Stephen Domotor  
   U.S. Department of Energy  
   Office of Environment, Safety and Health  
   Air, Water, and Radiation Division (EH-412)  
   1000 Independence Avenue, S.W.  
   Washington, DC 20585-0119  
   Stephen.Domotor@eh.doe.gov
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Scope, Purpose and Organization

This technical standard provides methods, models, and guidance within a graded approach that the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and its contractors may use to evaluate doses of ionizing radiation to populations of aquatic animals, terrestrial plants, and terrestrial animals from DOE activities for the purpose of demonstrating protection relative to Dose Rate Guidelines. It provides dose evaluation methods that can be used to meet the requirements of DOE Order 5400.5, “Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment” (1990a) and DOE Order 5400.1, “General Environmental Protection Program” (1990b). The technical standard assumes a threshold of protection for plants and animals at the following doses: for aquatic animals, 1 rad/d (10 mGy/d); for terrestrial plants, 1 rad/d (10 mGy/d); and for terrestrial animals, 0.1 rad/d (1 mGy/d). Available data indicate that dose rates below these limits cause no measurable adverse effects to populations of plants and animals.

The DOE graded approach includes a screening method and three more detailed levels of analysis for demonstrating compliance with applicable dose limits for protection of biota. The general screening method provides appropriately conservative limiting concentrations of radionuclides in environmental media (termed “Biota Concentration Guides” or BCGs). Radionuclide concentrations in samples of environmental media are easily compared with the BCGs to evaluate compliance with biota dose limits. The three more detailed analysis methods require more effort, but yield more accurate and realistic biota dose evaluations.

This technical standard is designed to be user-friendly, and is organized into three principal Modules for ease of implementation. Material in each Module is cross-referenced to pertinent sections in other Modules. There is some duplication of material across Modules by design, in order to allow each to be used separately, if desired. Module 1 serves as the principal users guide for step-by-step implementation of the graded approach to biota dose evaluation. Module 2 serves as a resource guide, providing detailed guidance for implementing key elements of the graded approach identified in Module 1, and providing a “primer” on technical issues to be considered when evaluating radiation as a stressor to the environment. Module 3 serves as a technical reference source, providing the technical basis for the derivation of dose models, screening values, and selection of default assumptions and parameters applied in the graded approach. The organization and content of the technical standard are provided in Figure 1.
Figure 1 Organization and Contents of the DOE Technical Standard
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**Definitions**

As defined and used in this technical standard:

**Absorbed Dose (D)** is the energy imparted to matter by ionizing radiation per unit mass of irradiated material at the place of interest in that material. More specifically, for any radiation type and any medium, absorbed dose (D) is the total energy (\(e\)) absorbed per unit mass (\(m\)) of material: \(D = \frac{e}{m}\). The absorbed dose is expressed in units of rad (gray), where 1 rad = 0.01 joule/kg material (1 gray = 100 rad). For the purposes of this technical standard, the absorbed dose in an organism is assumed to be the average value over the whole organism.

**Allometric** refers to the relative growth of a part in relation to the entire organism.

**Alpha Particle** is a helium-4 nucleus consisting of two protons and two neutrons, given off by the decay of many heavy elements, including uranium and plutonium. Because the particles are slow moving as well as heavy, alpha radiation can be blocked by a sheet of paper. However, once an alpha emitter is in living tissue, it can cause substantial damage because of the high ionization density along its path.

**Aquatic Biota** is plant or animal life living in or on water.

**Arithmetic Mean** is the most commonly used measure of central tendency, commonly called the “average.” Mathematically, it is the sum of all the values of a set divided by the number of values in the set:

\[
\bar{X} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i}{n}
\]

**Assessment Endpoint** is an explicit expression of the environmental value that is to be protected, operationally defined by an ecological entity and its attributes. For example, salmon are valued ecological entities; reproduction and age class structure are some of their important attributes. Together "salmon reproduction and age class structure" form an assessment endpoint.

**Average** - See “Arithmetic Mean.”

**Beta Particle** is an electron. It has a short range in air. Beta particles are moderately penetrating and can cause skin burns from external exposure, but can be blocked by a sheet of plywood.

**Bias** is a consistent underestimation or overestimation of the true values representing a population.

**Bioaccumulation** is the ratio of the contaminant concentration in the organism relative to the contaminant concentration in an environmental medium resulting from the uptake of the
contaminant from one or more routes of exposure. This ratio is typically described through a bioaccumulation factor ($B_a$).

**Biomagnification** is the tendency of some contaminants to accumulate to higher concentrations at higher levels in the food web through dietary accumulation.

**Biota** is plant and animal life of a particular region.

**Biota Concentration Guide (BCG)** is the limiting concentration of a radionuclide in soil, sediment, or water that would not cause dose limits for protection of populations of aquatic and terrestrial biota (as used in this technical standard) to be exceeded.

**Carnivore** is a flesh-eating animal.

**Chronic** refers to an extended continuous exposure to a stressor or the effects resulting from such an exposure.

**Community** is an assemblage of populations of different species within a specified location in space and time.

**Conceptual Model** is a written description and visual representation of predicted relationships between ecological entities and the stressors to which they may be exposed.

**Data Quality Objectives (DQOs)** are qualitative and quantitative statements that clarify technical and quality objectives for a study, define the appropriate type of data, and specify tolerable levels of uncertainty that a data user is willing to accept in the decision. DQOs specify the problem to be solved, the decision, the inputs to the decision, the boundaries of the study, the decision rule, and the limits of uncertainty.

**Deterministic Effects** are those for which the severity is a function of dose, and for which a threshold usually exists.

**Discharge Point** is a conduit through which any radioactively contaminated gas, water, or solid is discharged to the atmosphere, waters, or soils.

**Distribution Coefficient** is the ratio of the mass of solute species absorbed or precipitated on the soil or sediment to the solute concentration in the water. This ratio is typically described through a $K_d$ factor.

**Ecological Relevance** is one of three criteria for assessment endpoint selection. Ecologically relevant endpoints reflect important characteristics of the system and are functionally related to other endpoints.

**Ecological Risk Assessment** is the process that evaluates the likelihood that adverse ecological effects may occur or are occurring as a result of exposure to one or more stressors.
**Effluent** is any treated or untreated air emission or liquid discharge, including storm water runoff.

**Effluent Monitoring** is the collection and analysis of samples or measurements of liquid, gaseous, or airborne effluents for the purpose of characterizing and quantifying contaminant levels and process stream characteristics, assessing radiation exposures to members of the public and the environment, and demonstrating compliance with applicable standards.

**Environmental Medium** is a discrete portion of the total environment, animate or inanimate, that may be sampled or measured directly.

**Environmental Surveillance** is the collection and analysis of samples of air, water, soil, foodstuffs, biota, and other media and the measurement of external radiation and radioactive materials for purposes of demonstrating compliance with applicable standards, assessing radiation exposures to members of the public, and assessing effects, if any, on the local environment.

**Error** is the difference between an observed or measured value and its true value.

**Exposure** is the co-occurrence or contact between the endpoint organism and the stressor (e.g., radiation or radionuclides).

**Facility** means a building, structure, or installation subject to the regulations/standards pertinent to this technical standard.

**Forb** is an herb other than grass.

**Gamma Rays** are high-energy electromagnetic photons similar to X-rays. They are highly penetrating and several inches of lead or several feet of concrete are necessary to shield against them.

**Geometric Mean** is mathematically expressed as the $n^{th}$ root of the product of all values in a set of $n$ values:

$$
\bar{X}_g = \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n} X_i \right)^{1/n}
$$

or as the antilogarithm of the arithmetic mean of the logarithms of all the values of a set of $n$ values:

$$
\bar{X}_g = \text{antilog} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log X_i \right)
$$
The geometric mean is generally used when the logarithms of a set of values are normally distributed, as is the case for much of the monitoring and surveillance data.

**Geometric Standard Deviation** is mathematically expressed as the antilog of the standard deviation of the logarithms of the measurements:

\[
S_g = \text{antilog} \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log X_i \right]^{1/2}
\]

**Grab Sample** is a single sample acquired over a short interval of time.

**Herbivore** is a plant-eating animal.

**Lentic** refers to living in or relating to still waters (as lakes, ponds, or swamps).

**Lotic** refers to living in or relating to actively moving water (as streams or rivers).

**Median** is the middle value of a set of data when the data are ranked in increasing or decreasing order. If there is an even number of values in the set, the median is the arithmetic average of the two middle values; if the number of values is odd, it is the middle value.

**Mode** refers to the value occurring most frequently in a data set.

**Monitoring** is the use of instruments, systems, or special techniques to measure liquid, gaseous, solid, and/or airborne effluents and contaminants.

**Nuclide** refers to an isotope, either stable or unstable, of any chemical element.

**Phylogenetic** refers to the evolution of a genetically related group of organisms as distinguished from the development of the individual organism.

**Poikilothermic** refers to a cold-blooded organism.

**Population** is an aggregate of individuals of a species within a specified location in space and time.

**Proportional Sample** is a sample consisting of a known fraction of the original stream.

**Quality** refers to the totality of features and characteristics of a material, process, product, service, or activity that bears on its ability to satisfy a given purpose.
Quality Assurance (QA) refers to those planned and systematic actions necessary to provide adequate confidence that a measurement represents the sampled population. Quality assurance includes quality control (QC), which comprises all those actions necessary to control and verify the features and characteristics of a material, process, product, or service to specified requirements.

Quality Control (QC) refers to those actions necessary to control and verify the features and characteristics of a material, process, product, service, or activity to specified requirements. The aim of quality control is to provide quality that is satisfactory, adequate, dependable, and economical.

Rad is a unit of absorbed dose of ionizing radiation equal to an energy of 100 ergs per gram of irradiated material.

Radiation (Ionizing) refers to alpha particles, beta particles, photons (gamma rays or x-rays), high-energy electrons, and any other particles capable of producing ions.

Radioactive Material refers to any material or combination of materials that contain radionuclides that spontaneously emits ionizing radiation.

Radionuclide is an unstable nuclide that undergoes spontaneous transformation, emitting radiation. There are approximately 2,200 known radionuclides, both man-made and naturally occurring. A radionuclide is identified by the number of neutrons and protons in the atomic nucleus and its half-life.

Random Error refers to variations of repeated measurements made within a sample set that are random in nature and individually not predictable. The causes of random error are assumed to be indeterminate or non-assignable. Random errors are generally assumed to be normally distributed.

Random Samples are samples obtained in such a manner that all items or members of the lot, or population, have an equal chance of being selected in the sample.

Range is the difference between the maximum and minimum values of a set of values.

Relative Biological Effectiveness (RBE) is defined as the ratio of the absorbed dose of a reference radiation (normally gamma rays or X rays) required to produce a level of biological response to the absorbed dose of the radiation of concern required to produce the same level of biological response, all other conditions being kept constant.

Representative Individual is an individual organism within a population that receives a radiation dose which is equivalent to the value of the appropriate measure of central tendency (i.e., mean, median, mode) of the distribution of doses received by that population. The individual is assumed to be representative of the population as a whole.
Representative Sample is a sample taken to depict the characteristics of a lot or population as accurately and precisely as possible. A representative sample may be a “random sample” or a “stratified sample” depending upon the objective of the sampling and the characteristics of the conceptual population.

Riparian Organisms are those organisms related to, living, or located on the bank of a natural watercourse (as a river) or sometimes of a lake or a tidewater.

Safety Factor is a factor applied to an observed or estimated toxic concentration or dose to arrive at a criterion or standard that is considered safe.

Sample has two definitions: 1) A subset or group of objects selected from a larger set, called the “lot” or “population;” and 2) an extracted portion or subset of an effluent stream or environmental media.

Sampling is the extraction of a prescribed portion of an effluent stream or of an environmental medium for purposes of inspection and/or analysis.

Sequential Sampling refers to timed samples collected from an effluent stream.

Site refers to the land or property upon which DOE facilities or activities are located and access to which is subject to Departmental or DOE contractor control.

Source (Radioactive) is either (1) a known amount of radioactive material emanating a characteristic amount of energy in the form of alpha, beta, gamma, neutron, or x-ray emissions (or a combination of such emissions), or (2) a single process or release point that contributes to or causes a release to the environment and that can be separated from other processes by a break in the flow of material.

Standard Deviation is an indication of the dispersion of a set of results around the average of samples collected or the mean of a population; it is the positive square root of the sample variance. For samples taken from a population, the standard deviation, \( s \), is calculated as:

\[
\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \bar{X})^2}
\]

where \( \bar{X} \) = average value of the samples measured;

\( n \) = number of samples measured; and

\( X_i \) = individual measurement value for sample \( i \).

For a finite population, the standard deviation (\( \sigma \)) is
where \( F \) is the mean value of the population and \( N \) is the number of values within the population.

**Stochastic Effects** are those for which the probability of occurrence is a function of dose, but the severity of the effects is independent of dose.

**Stratified Sample (Stratified Random Sample)** refers to a sample consisting of various portions that have been obtained from identified subparts or subcategories (strata) of the total lot or population. Within each category or stratum, the samples are taken randomly. The objective of taking stratified samples is to obtain a more representative sample than might be obtained by a completely random sampling.

**Systematic Error** is the condition in which there is a consistent deviation of the results from the actual or true values by a measurement process. The cause for the deviation, or bias, may be known or unknown; however, it is considered “assignable” (i.e., the cause can be reasonably determined).

**Terrestrial Biota** is plant and animal life living on or in land.

**Variability** is a general term for the dispersion of values in a data set.

**Variance** is a measure of the variability of samples within a subset or the entire population. Mathematically, the sample variance (\( s^2 \)) is the sum of squares of the differences between the individual values of a set and the arithmetic average of the set, divided by one less than the number of values:

\[
s^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \bar{X})^2
\]

where \( X_i \) = value of sample \( i \);

\( \bar{X} \) = average of samples measured; and

\( n \) = number of samples measured.

For a finite population, the variance (\( \sigma^2 \)) is the sum of squares of deviations from the arithmetic mean, divided by the number of values in the population:
where \( F \) is the mean value of the population and \( N \) is the number of values within the population.
Acronyms and Abbreviations

$\lambda_{\text{bio}}$ biological decay constant

$\lambda_{\text{eff}}$ the combination of biological and radiological decay constants

$\lambda_{\text{rad}}$ radiological decay constant

ACRP Advisory Committee on Radiation Protection

ASTM American Society for Testing and Materials

$B_{v}$ bioaccumulation factor

BCG Biota Concentration Guide

BDAC Biota Dose Assessment Committee

CERCLA Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

CFR Code of Federal Regulations

CV coefficient of variation

D absorbed dose

H dose equivalent

DOE U.S. Department of Energy

DQO data quality objectives

EE/CA engineering evaluation/cost analysis

EH DOE’s Office of Environment, Safety, and Health

EMS Environmental Management System

EPA U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

IAEA International Atomic Energy Agency

ICRP International Commission on Radiological Protection

$K_d$ solid/solution distribution coefficient

M&O management and operating (contractor)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NCRP</td>
<td>National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEA</td>
<td>Nuclear Energy Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEPA</td>
<td>National Environmental Policy Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIST</td>
<td>National Institute of Standards and Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOAEL</td>
<td>No Observed Adverse Effects Levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRDA</td>
<td>Natural Resource Damage Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRA</td>
<td>population-relevant attribute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QA</td>
<td>quality assurance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QC</td>
<td>quality control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QF</td>
<td>quality factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBE</td>
<td>relative biological effectiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCRA</td>
<td>Resource Conservation and Recovery Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RI/FS</td>
<td>remedial investigation/feasibility study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNSCEAR</td>
<td>United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USFWS</td>
<td>U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_t$</td>
<td>tissue or organ weighting factor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>